

[Rebuttal to the Argument Against Prop. 2]

YES on Proposition 2 Protects Animals, Food Safety, and the Environment

Factory farming corporations trot out “experts” aligned with industry to scare voters with false claims and junk science. It’s just common sense to allow animals to lie down, turn around, and stretch their limbs. Suggesting it’s dangerous *is ridiculous*.

Science-based, mainstream organizations supporting Prop 2 include:

- Consumer Federation of America
- California Veterinary Medical Association
- Humane Society of the United States
- Union of Concerned Scientists
- Pew Commission on Industrial Farm Animal Production
- Sierra Club California
- California Clean Water Action

Proposition 2’s opponents are bankrolled by companies that put profits ahead of people and animals.

One major funder, Moark LLC, paid to settle criminal cruelty charges for throwing live birds into trash bins. Another, United Egg Producers, paid to settle false advertising allegations brought by 17 attorneys general related to misleading claims about animal welfare.

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT AGAINST

PROPOSITION ²

The fact is, animals crowded in cages are MORE likely to be infected with Salmonella and other diseases than those in cage-free facilities.

And scare tactics about costs? The industry's own economist admitted it costs less than one additional penny per egg to stop cramming hens in cages.

The opponents have it all wrong. They fail to mention that the vast majority of chickens in food production already are not confined in small cages. They also omit mention of Prop 2's protection of calves and pigs, and the misery these animals endure in tiny crates.

Vote YES on Prop 2.

www.YesOnProp2.org

Dr. Ixchel Mosley, DVM
President, San Diego County Veterinary Medical Association

Nigel Walker
California egg farmer

Michael Jacobson, PhD
Executive Director, Center for Science in the Public Interest

**SUBJECT TO COURT
ORDERED CHANGES**